



# Terapie cellulari a confronto dal punto di vista di attivazione/espansione/formulazione

Cristiana Carniti

Divisione di Ematologia

Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori - Milano

Novità nella terapia cellulare nel mondo dei pazienti R/R DLBCL Milano, 12 luglio 2023



### **Disclosure Statement**

Travel and accommodations paid by profit health care companies during the past 2 years: Novartis





### CAR T cell therapy

#### CAR: Chimeric Antigen Receptor

- Synthetic receptors that reprogram immune cells for therapeutic purposes
- They comprise three canonical domains for antigen recognition, T cell activation, and co-stimulation
- Autologous CAR T cells are generated from the patient's peripheral blood T cells and expand in the recipient to eliminate the targeted tumor
- CAR T cells specifically recognize and eliminate malignant cells expressing a target antigen (CD19 for NHL , CLL and ALL, BCMA for MM)





#### FDA-Approved CAR T therapies for B-cell Lymphomas

Product	Lymphoma Indications (FDA Approval Date)
<ul> <li>Axicabtagene ciloleucel (Yescarta)</li> <li>Anti–CD19-CD28-CD3z construct</li> <li>Uses retroviral transduction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adults with LBCL either refractory to first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapsed within 12 mo of first-line chemoimmunotherapy (April 1, 2022)</li> <li>Adults with R/R LBCL after ≥2 lines of systemic therapy, including DLBCL NOS, DLBCL arising from FL, primary mediastinal LBCL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma (October 18, 2017)</li> <li>Adults with R/R FL after ≥2 lines of systemic therapy (March 5, 2021)</li> </ul>
Brexucabtagene autoleucel (Tecartus) Anti–CD19-CD28-CD3z construct Uses retroviral transduction	<ul> <li>Adults with R/R MCL (July 24, 2020)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Lisocabtagene maraleucel (Breyanzi)</li> <li>Anti–CD19-41BB-CD3z construct</li> <li>Uses lentiviral transduction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adults with LBCL, including DLBCL NOS, DLBCL arising from indolent lymphoma, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal LBCL, and FL grade 3B, who have disease that is:</li> <li>Either refractory to first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapsed within 12 mo of first-line chemoimmunotherapy (June 24, 2022), or</li> <li>Refractory to first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapsed after first-line chemoimmunotherapy and ineligible for HSCT due to comorbidities or age (June 24, 2022), or</li> <li>R/R after ≥2 lines of systemic therapy (February 5, 2021)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Tisagenlecleucel (Kymriah)</li> <li>Anti–CD19-41BB-CD3z construct</li> <li>Uses lentiviral transduction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adults with R/R LBCL after ≥2 lines of systemic therapy, including DLBCL NOS, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from FL (May 1, 2018)</li> <li>Adults with R/R FL after ≥2 lines of systemic therapy (May 27, 2022)</li> </ul>

Axicabtagene ciloleucel PI. Brexucabtagene autoleucel PI. Lisocabtagene maraleucel PI. Tisagenlecleucel PI.

#### Pivotal Trials Leading to FDA Approval: Lymphomas

Outcome	Phase II ZUMA-1 <sup>1-3</sup>	Phase II ZUMA-5 <sup>1,4-5</sup>	Phase II JULIET <sup>6-8</sup>	Phase II ELARA <sup>6,9-11</sup>	Phase I TRANSCEND NHL 001 <sup>12-15</sup>	Phase II ZUMA-2 <sup>16-18</sup>
CAR T-cell product	Axi-cel ( <i>Yescarta</i> )	Axi-cel ( <i>Yescarta</i> )	Tisa-cel ( <i>Kymriah</i> )	Tisa-cel ( <i>Kymriah</i> )	Liso-cel ( <i>Breyanzi</i> )	Brexu-cel ( <i>Tecartus</i> )
Patient population	Adults with R/R LBCL	Adults with R/R FL	Adults with R/R LBCL post/ineligible for autoHSCT	Adults with R/R FL	Adults with R/R LBCL	Adults with R/R MCL
Pheresed/ treated, n	111/101	127/124	165/111	98/97	344/269	71/68
Bridging tx, %	Not permitted	4	92	44	59	37
ORR/CR, %	82/52	94/79	52/40	86.2/69.1	73/53	85/59
OS/PFS rate, %	1 yr: 59/44 5 yr: 42.6/	2 yr: 81.2/63.4	1 yr: 49/ 2 yr: 41.1/33.5	1 yr:/67.0	1 yr: 58/44 2 yr: 50.5/40.6	1 yr: 83/61 2 yr:/52.9

Axicabtagene ciloleucel PI. 2. Neelapu. NEJM. 2017;377:2531. 3. Jacobson. TCT 2022. Abstr 10. 4. Jacobson. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23:91.
 Neelapu. EBMT 2022. Abstr OS08-01. 6. Tisagenlecleucel PI. 7. Schuster. NEJM. 2019;380:45. 8. Schuster. Leuk Lymphoma. 2022;63:845.
 Fowler. Nat Med. 2022;28:325. 10. Thieblemont. TCT 2022. Abstr 74. 11. Schuster. ASCO 2021. Abstr 7508. 12. Lisocabtagene maraleucel PI.
 Abramson. ASH 2019. Abstr 241. 14. Abramson. Lancet. 2020;396:839. 15. Abramson. EBMT 2022. Abstr OS08-07. 16. Brexucabtagene autoleucel PI. 17. Wang. NEJM. 2020;382:1331. 18. Wang. ASCO 2022. Abstr 7518.



#### Structure of different CAR generations



adapted from Tokarew et al, 2019 BJC

#### Key Differences in approved products for B-cell Lymphomas



adapted from Boettcher et al. 2022

Despite these differences, all CD19-CAR-T cell constructs use the same single-chain variable fragments (scFvs) derived from a murine FMC63 monoclonal antibody and have demonstrated outstanding clinical performance in various B-lineage malignancies

#### CAR Signaling Domains Program Cells for Metabolic Fitness



adapted from Boettcher et al. 2022

Adapted from Cerrano et al, Front Immunol 2020

#### AIFA-approved CAR T therapies for B-cell Lymphomas



adapted from https://news.accmed.org/ga-ematologia



#### Assignement to either Tisa-cel or Axi-cel







Within the prospective observational study enrolling all consecutive LBCL pts receiving CAR T at INT, we wanted to:

slot production availability and histology

- ✓ encover differences between Tisa-cel and Axi-cel
- ✓ identify properties of CAR-T cells that enable their *in vivo* proliferation and their efficacy

Efficacy depends on cellular and molecular features of infused CAR-

Jacobson et al. JCO 2020, Vercellino et al. Blood Adv 2020; Awasthi et al. Blood Adv 2020; Ayuk et al. Blood Adv 2021, Fraietta et al. Nat Med 2018, Deng et al Nat Med 2020

#### Are IP characteristics associated with *in vivo* CAR T ESTITUTO NAZIONALE expansion and response



Chiara Monfrini 💿 ; Federico Stella 💿 ; Vanessa Aragona 💿 ; Martina Magni 💿 ; Silva Ljevar 💿 ; Cristina Vella ; Eugenio Fardella ; Annalisa Chiappella ; Francesca Nanetti ; Martina Pennisi ; Anna Dodero ; Anna Guidetti ; Paolo Corradini 🛥 💿 ; Cristiana Carniti 💿



CAR: chimeric antigen receptor; IP: infusion products; PFS: progression free survival; pts: patients; T<sub>CM</sub> : T central memory

#### PATIENTS

Characteristics	Overall (N = 61)	Axi-cel (N = 32)	Tisa-cel (N = 29)	p-value
Age – median years (range)	56 (21-70)	55 (21-70)	56 (26-70)	0.99
Hystotypes -DLBCL -tFL -PMBCL -HGBL (including DHL/THL)	31 (51%) 8 (13%) 13 (21%) 9 (15%)	12 (37%) 3 (9%) 13 (41%) 4 (12%)	19 (65%) 5 (17%) 0 (0) 5 (17%)	0.47 0.46 <0.001* 0.72
ECOG 0 / 1	46 (75%) / 13 (21%)	27 (84%) / 4 (12%)	19 (65%) / 9 (31%)	0.13/0.11
Previous lines ≥ 3	24 (39%)	11 (34%)	13 (45%)	0.44
Primary refractory (< 6 mo) (%)	46 (75%)	24 (75%)	22 (76%)	0.13
Stage III-IV (%)	45 (74%)	22 (69%)	23 (79%)	0.39
IPI 3-5 (%)	13 (21%)	3 (9%)	10 (34%)	0.03*
Bulky > 5 cm (%)	16 (26%)	10 (31%)	6 (21%)	0.6
Bridging therapy (%)	48 (79%)	24 (75%)	25 (86%)	0.34
TMTV median (range)	27.6 (0.7-389)	29.4 (1.35-256)	21.1 (0.7-389)	0.6

DLBCL: Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma; tFL: transformed Follicular Lymphoma; PMBCL: Primary Medistinal B-cell Lymphoma; HGBL: High Grade B-cell Lymphoma; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; IPI: International Prognostic Index; TMTV:Total Metabolic Tumor Volume;

#### Tisa-cel and Axi-cel infusion product phenotypes by FCM





CAR: chimeric antigen receptor; T<sub>N</sub>: T naive; T<sub>SCM</sub>: T stem cell memory; T<sub>CM</sub>: T central memory; T<sub>EM</sub>: T effector memory; T<sub>E</sub>: T effector

#### Tisa-cel and Axi-cel infusion product phenotypes by FCM



Tisa-cel and Axi-cel differ significantly in cell composition and CAR-T cell diffentiantion subsets





CAR: chimeric antigen receptor; T<sub>N</sub>: T naive; T<sub>SCM</sub>: T stem cell memory; T<sub>CM</sub>: T central memory; T<sub>EM</sub>: T effector memory; T<sub>E</sub>: T effector



#### Similar expansion kinetics for Tisa-cel and Axi-cel



3000

-2000

-1000

300

-200

-100

0

AUC<sub>0-30</sub>

#### No difference in PFS and OS by Tisa-cel vs Axi-cel

(median follow-up 10.9 months, range 1-24.2)





OS

Median PFS Overall = 10,1 months Axi-cel = not reached Tisa-cel = 6.4 months, p = ns Median OS Overall = not reached Axi-cel = not reached Tisa-cel = 19.4 months, p = ns

#### Responders have enhanced expansion

Responders (CR + PR by day 90)







*median C*<sub>10</sub>was selected to dichotomize the population into *Expanders & Poor-Expanders* 



#### In vivo expansion is associated with response and survival



C<sub>10</sub> could represent an early biomarker to predict response and survival in vivo on an individual patient level, regardless of the IP used



#### IP phenotypic signatures are associated with expansion



expanders received infusion products enriched in CAR+CD8+ cells with a  $T_{CM}$  phenotype (median CAR+/CD8+ $T_{CM}$ : 13.8% versus 4.5%; P<0.005)

irrespective of the type of IP used, CAR+CD8+ central memory could represent an early biomarker to predict in vivo CAR-T cell expansion

CAR: chimeric antigen receptor; IP: infusion product;  $T_N$ : T naive;  $T_{CM}$ : T central memory;  $T_{EM}$ : T effector memory;  $T_E$ : T effector

### Summary 1:

No matter what product you use, infusion product characteristics are associated with in vivo CAR T expansion and response



- The presence of  $CD8+T_{CM}$  cells within the CAR+ cells favorably impact in vivo expansion
- Expansion is associated with better response rates and longer PFS

#### CLINICAL CANCER RESEARCH

ARTICLES ~ FOR AUTHORS ~ ALERTS NEWS COVID-19 WEBINARS

#### **Article Contents**

RESEARCH ARTICLE | MAY 18 2022

Abstract Supplementary data

Phenotypic composition of commercial anti-CD19 CAR-T cells affects in vivo expansion and disease response in large B-cell lymphoma patients 👌

Chiara Monfrini 💿 ; Federico Stella 💿 ; Vanessa Aragona 💿 ; Martina Magni 💿 ; Silva Ljevar 💿 ; Cristina Vella ; Eugenio Fardella ; Annalisa Chiappella: Francesca Nanetti : Martina Pennisi: Anna Dodero: Anna Guidetti : Paolo Corradini 🔽 💿 : Cristiana Carniti 👊

#### Do LK cellular features matter?





*LK: leukapheresis; Tn: naïve T cells; Tscm: stem cell memory T cells, Tcm: central memory T cells; Tem: effector memory T cells; Te: effector T cells* 

#### PATIENTS

CHARACTERISTICS			N=74
Age (median, range)			56 years (24-73)
Males			46 (62%)
Histotypes	-	DLBCL	39 (53%)
	-	tFL	9 (12%)
	-	HGBL	12 (16%)
	-	PMBCL	14 (19%)
Prior lines	-	Prior lines >2 (3-7)	53 (75%)
	-	Prior ASCT	20 (27%)
	-	Prior CPI	11 (15%)
ECOG	-	0	59 (79%)
	-	1	15 (21%)
Stage	-	≤II	18 (25%)
	-	>	56 (75%)
IPI	-	0-2	53 (72%)
	-	3-5	21 (28%)
Bulky disease (>5cm)			13 (18%)
ALC apheresis (median)			805 (230-4590)
CRP at day0 >ULN			38 (51%)
LDH at day0 >ULN			25(34%)
Ferritin at day0 >ULN			50 (67%)
Bridging therapy			63 (85%)
Status at infusion	-	CR	12 (16%)
	-	PR	10 (13%)
	-	SD	4 (5%)
	-	PD	49 (66%)
CAR T-cell product	-	Axi-cel	40 (54%)
	-	Tisa-cel	34 (46%)

OUTCOMES	
CR @ day 30 (%)	39 (53%)
ORR @ day 30 (%)	46 (62%)
CR @ day 90 (%)	35 (47%)
ORR @ day 90 (%)	37 (50%)
CRS grade ≥ 2 (%)	16 (22%)
ICANS	12 (16%) all grade 1
Tocilizumab (%)	34 (46%)
Steroids (%)	25 (34%)

ALC: Absolute Lymphocyte Count; ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; CPI: checkpoint inhibitors; CR: Complete Response; CRP: C-Reactive Protein; CRS: cytokine release syndrome; DLBCL: Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HGBL: High Grade B-cell Lymphoma; ICANS: immune cell–associated neurologic syndrome; IPI: International Prognostic Index; LDH: Lactate Dehydrogenase; ORR: Overall response rate; PD: Progressive Disease; PMBCL: Primary Medistinal B-cell Lymphoma; PR: Partial Response; SD: Stable disease; tFL: transformed Follicular Lymphoma; TMTV:Total Metabolic Tumor Volume; ULN: Upper Level Normality.

#### Correlation between CD8+ $T_{SCM}$ in LK and CD8+ $T_{CM}$ in IP





*LK: leukapheresis; Tn: naïve T cells; Tscm: stem cell memory T cells, Tcm: central memory T cells; Tem: effector memory T cells; Te: effector T cells* 

#### Correlation between CD8+ T<sub>SCM</sub> in LK and expansion





LK: leukapheresis; Tn: naïve T cells; Tscm: stem cell memory T cells, Tcm: central memory T cells; Tem: effector memory T cells; Te: effector T cells

**Expanders Poor-expanders** 

#### Do LK transcriptional features matter ?

Target

← 35-50nt -+ - 35-50nt --+





*LK: leukapheresis; Tn: naïve T cells; Tscm: stem cell memory T cells, Tcm: central memory T cells; Tem: effector memory T cells; Te: effector T cells* 

#### A 4-gene signature in LK segregates pts with different PFS





ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; C<sub>10</sub>: CAR T-cell concentration at day 10; CRS: cytokine release syndrome; ICP: immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICANS: immune cell–associated neurologic syndrome; LK: leukapheresis

#### A 4-gene signature in LK segregates pts with different PFS





ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; C<sub>10</sub>: CAR T-cell concentration at day 10; CRS: cytokine release syndrome; ICP: immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICANS: immune cell–associated neurologic syndrome; LK: leukapheresis

## The 4-gene signature in LK correlates with CD8T<sub>CM</sub> cells in IP and with in vivo CAR T expansion





ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; C<sub>10</sub>: CAR T-cell concentration at day 10; CRS: cytokine release syndrome; ICP: immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICANS: immune cell–associated neurologic syndrome; LK: leukapheresis

EXP

poor-EXP

#### No correlation between the signature and IPs





ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplant; C<sub>10</sub>: CAR T-cell concentration at day 10; CRS: cytokine release syndrome; ICP: immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICANS: immune cell–associated neurologic syndrome; LK: leukapheresis

### Summary:

We have defined novel correlates of response to Tisa-cel and Axi-cel in leukapheresis:

- A less-differentiated status of T cells at leukapheresis is associated to IP features and to *in vivo* CAR T expansion and thus response and survival of LBCL pts
- Concomitantly, a 4-gene signature in the leukapheresis affect IP features, in vivo expansion and segregates pts with different PFS probabilities

